

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,777	08/06/2003	Satoshi Arakawa	Q76144	7626
23373 7	7590 01/14/2004 EXAMINER			IINER
SUGHRUE M		HANNAHER, CONSTANTINE		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20037		1.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,		2878	
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			₽ X €			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
,		10/634,777	ARAKAWA, SATOSHI			
Ì	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Constantine Hannaher	2878			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repoper of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froe, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 A	August 2003.				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 2-11 is/are pending in the application	1.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-11</u> is/are rejected.					
1	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 06 August 2003 is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objecte	d to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) \bigcup_{3}	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first CFR 1.78. 2) The translation of the foreign language processing the process of the priority document is made of a claim for domest acknowledgment acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest acknowledgment acknowledg	ats have been received. Its have been received in Application of the certified copies not received the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certification of the specification of the specification of the certification of the specification of th	ation No. <u>09/385,443</u> . ived in this National Stage ived. 9(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data Sheet.			
	eference was included in the first sentence of t					
Attachme		" 🗖	(DTO 140) F			
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) D Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

- 1. Since the information disclosure statement submitted August 6, 2003 is utterly incapable (twice) of correctly identifying any relevant earlier application which might be relied upon for an earlier effective filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120, no reliance on 37 CFR 1.98(d) to avoid the provision of copies is possible.
- 2. In accordance with MPEP § 609.I.A.2, the examiner acknowledges consideration of information which has been considered by the Office in a parent application when examining this continuing application. Such information does not include the four documents in the NPL section of the listing submitted August 6, 2003, evidence of consideration for which was properly refused in the parent application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-5, 10, 11, and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (US005563421A) and Hejazi (US005554850A) and Bueno et al. (US005594253A).

With respect to independent claim 2, Lee et al. discloses a radiation image detecting system

10 (Fig. 1) comprising a solid radiation detector comprising a conversion means 8 which converts
radiation bearing thereon image information to electric charges and a two-dimensional image
detecting means which detects the electric charges obtained by the conversion (the act performed by

the means which converts). The problem of high frequency components of image information not lower than the Nyquist frequency manifesting themselves as image information at lower frequencies is sufficiently well known in the art of detecting radiation images¹ that an anti-aliasing birefringent blur filter is routine in optical apparatus². Hejazi discloses a radiation image detecting system (Fig. 2) comprising a two-dimensional image detecting means 18 (column 3, lines 11-14 and column 4, lines 1-2) in which the improvement comprises fiber optic scintillating plate 16. Plate 16 in the system of Hejazi serves as a high frequency component attenuation means because the tilt of the rods 10 therein allows x-rays to be absorbed at various depths in the plate 16 yet x-ray photons from the same direction from object 14 will generate scintillations which arrive at different second ends 21. The image plane 17 will no longer be composed of well-defined discrete pixels (as it would without tilt of the rods 10) and some blurring will be introduced. Therefore, high frequency components of the image information from object 14 are attenuated. In view of the improved image detecting afforded by the suggestion of Hejazi in minimizing aliasing, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Lee et al. to comprise a high frequency component attenuation means therein. The specific level of noise achieved by the inclusion of a high frequency component attenuation means in the system of Lee et al. as suggested by the plate 16 in Hejazi is a choice within the ordinary skill in the art in view of the desired performance. To the extent that scintillating plate 16 in the system of Hejazi is not "a phosphor layer" in view of any distinctions between scintillators and phosphors, Bueno et al. discloses that the combination 10 of a scintillating fiber optic plate with a phosphor layer is

¹ Applicant's representative's seasonable traversal of this statement was found inadequate in the Office action mailed December 24, 2002 in the parent application. Accordingly, this statement is taken to be admitted prior art and is no longer an issue before the Examiner. MPEP § 2144.03.

particularly effective over the use of either apparatus component alone. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the image detecting system of Lee *et al.* to comprise the tilted fiber optic scintillating plate suggested by Hejazi and a phosphor layer as suggested by Bueno *et al.*

With respect to dependent claim 3, Bueno et al. discloses that making a phosphor layer "removable" from a radiation image detecting system is known (column 14, lines 31-33).

With respect to dependent claim 4, Bueno et al. provides suggestion for the choice of the thickness (column 10, lines 31-41) and/or the material (Table 2) of the suggested phosphor layer. Such choice constitutes an adjustment justifying the use of the recited term "variable."

With respect to dependent claim 5, Lee *et al.* discloses a transparent conductor 9 (column 4, lines 31-36) disposed over the conversion means 8.

With respect to dependent claim 10, the plate **16** in Hejazi comprises an adjustable thickness in view of the desire to optimize the angles A, B dependent on at least the thickness of the plate (column 3, lines 34-39).

With respect to dependent claim 11, the conversion means 8 of Lee *et al.* converts radiation bearing thereon image information directly into electric charges (column 6, lines 61-63).

With respect to independent claim 6, Lee et al. discloses a radiation image detecting system 10 (Fig. 1) comprising a conversion means 8 for converting radiation bearing thereon image information to electric charges and an arrayed detecting means which detects the electric charges obtained by the conversion means 12. The problem of high frequency components of image information not lower than the Nyquist frequency manifesting themselves as image information at

² Applicant's representative's seasonable traversal of this statement was found inadequate in the Office action mailed December 24, 2002 in the parent application. Accordingly, this statement is taken to be admitted prior art and is no longer an issue before the Examiner. MPEP § 2144.03.

Hejazi and a phosphor layer as suggested by Bueno et al.

lower frequencies is sufficiently well known in the art of detecting radiation images that an anti-aliasing birefringent blur filter is routine in optical apparatus. Hejazi discloses a radiation image detecting system (Fig. 2) comprising an arrayed detecting means 18 (column 3, lines 11-14 and column 4, lines 1-2) in which the improvement comprises fiber optic scintillating plate 16. Plate 16 in the system of Hejazi serves as a high frequency component attenuation means because the tilt of the rods 10 therein allows x-rays to be absorbed at various depths in the plate 16 yet x-ray photons from the same direction from object 14 will generate scintillations which arrive at different second ends 21. The image plane 17 will no longer be composed of well-defined discrete pixels (as it would without tilt of the rods 10) and some blurring will be introduced. Therefore, high frequency components of the image information from object 14 are attenuated. In view of the improved image detecting afforded by the suggestion of Hejazi in minimizing aliasing, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Lee et al. to comprise a high frequency component attenuation means therein. The specific level of noise achieved by the inclusion of a high frequency component attenuation means in the system of Lee et al. as suggested by the plate 16 in Hejazi is a choice within the ordinary skill in the art in view of the desired performance. To the extent that scintillating plate 16 in the system of Hejazi is not "a phosphor layer" in view of any distinctions between scintillators and phosphors, Bueno et al. discloses that the combination 10 of a scintillating fiber optic plate with a phosphor layer is particularly effective over the use of either apparatus component alone. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the image detecting system of Lee et al. to comprise the tilted fiber optic scintillating plate suggested by

With respect to dependent claim 7, Lee et al. discloses a transparent conductor 9 (column 4, lines 31-36) disposed over the conversion means 8.

With respect to independent claim 8, Lee et al. discloses a radiation image detecting system 10 (Fig. 1) comprising a radiation-to-electric charge converter 8 and an array of charge detectors 12 detecting the electric charges obtained by the converter (column 6, lines 61-67). The problem of high frequency components of image information not lower than the Nyquist frequency manifesting themselves as image information at lower frequencies is sufficiently well known in the art of detecting radiation images that an anti-aliasing birefringent blur filter is routine in optical apparatus. Hejazi discloses a radiation image detecting system (Fig. 2) comprising an array 18 of charge detectors (column 3, lines 11-14 and column 4, lines 1-2) in which the improvement comprises fiber optic scintillating plate 16. Plate 16 in the system of Hejazi serves as a high frequency component attenuation means because the tilt of the rods 10 therein allows x-rays to be absorbed at various depths in the plate 16 yet x-ray photons from the same direction from object 14 will generate scintillations which arrive at different second ends 21. The image plane 17 will no longer be composed of well-defined discrete pixels (as it would without tilt of the rods 10) and some blurring will be introduced. Therefore, high frequency components of the image information from object 14 are attenuated. In view of the improved image detecting afforded by the suggestion of Hejazi in minimizing aliasing, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Lee et al. to comprise a high frequency component attenuation means therein. The specific level of noise achieved by the inclusion of a high frequency component attenuation means in the system of Lee et al. as suggested by the plate 16 in Hejazi is a choice within the ordinary skill in the art in view of the desired performance. To the extent that scintillating plate 16 in the system of Hejazi is not "a phosphor layer" in view of any distinctions

between scintillators and phosphors, Bueno et al. discloses that the combination 10 of a scintillating fiber optic plate with a phosphor layer is particularly effective over the use of either apparatus component alone. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the image detecting system of Lee et al. to comprise the tilted fiber optic scintillating plate suggested by Hejazi and a phosphor layer as suggested by Bueno et al.

With respect to dependent claim 9, Lee et al. discloses a transparent conductor 9 (column 4, lines 31-36) disposed over the conversion means 8.

Response to Submission(s)

5. The amendment filed August 6, 2003 has been entered. The amendment found in the transmittal is improper but moot.

Conclusion

6. This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/385,443. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Constantine Hannaher whose telephone number is (703) 308-4850. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday with flexible hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Porta can be reached on (703) 308-4852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9318.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

ch

Constantine Hannaher
Primary Examiner